

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/680,396 | | 10/07/2003 | Adrian Carter | 6548-23-1U | 5974 |
| 38731 | 7590 | 05/03/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| NUFERN | | | | HERRING | G, LISA L |
| 7 AIRPOR | | - - | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| EAST GRA | MBY, C | 1 06026 | | 1731 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/03/200 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 1 |
|--|---|---|----------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/680,396 | CARTER ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Lisa Herring | 1731 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply | pears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replent if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DITHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | cation. |
| Status | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 C</u> | October 2003. | | |
| <u> </u> | s action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | nce except for formal ma | tters, prosecution as to the men | ts is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under t | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawin | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1 | ` ' |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xammer. Note the attache | d Office Action of form F10-13. | ۷. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | and all the condens of the o | C 140(a) (d) == 40 | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. Is have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stage | ; |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/680,396 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a process, classified in class 65, subclass 412/413
 - II. Claim 11, drawn to a process, classified in class 65, subclass 415.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the methods are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different functions. Group I is directed towards a method of forming a cladding specifically including soot deposition and Group II is directed towards a method of forming an optical article.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Additionally, in the event the applicant elects the method claims in Group I, the claims are directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

 The methods are directed to two embodiments:

Application/Control Number: 10/680,396

Control Hamber: 10/000,00

Art Unit: 1731

a) providing an elongate glass article (Claims 1-2, 5-6, and 7-8) and

b) providing a hollow elongate glass article (Claims 3-4 and 9-10).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1731

6. A telephone call was made to Peter Rainville on April 21, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Herring whose telephone number is 571-272-1094. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. 7:30 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,396

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L. Herring Patent Examiner Art Unit 1731 STEVEN P. GRIFFIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 Page 5